Near-infrared emission from modified zinc oxide hybrid nanostructures
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ABSTRACT

Near-infrared emission is receiving of attention due to its wide range of applications in imaging, optoelectronics and telecommunication. Here, we synthesize rare earth based organic complexes and use them to endow near-infrared emission capability into two-dimensional nanostructured transparent conducting oxide, specifically zinc oxide nanowalls. The hybrid ZnO nanowalls with Nd3+ complex are found to successfully generate characteristic near-infrared emissions at 887, 1066 and 1334 nm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and theoretical calculations show that the interfacial interactions between ZnO and the Nd3+ complex are driven by nitrate anions in the complex. Based on these results, we propose an unique cascade energy transfer mechanism from ZnO to Nd3+ via 1,10-phenanthroline, which could be generalized to account for emission of other similar hybrid systems.

1. Introduction

Zinc oxide low-dimensional nanostructures, such as nanowalls, nanobelts and nanorods, have recently attracted a lot of attention with ongoing research for applications in optoelectronics and photonic devices [1–10], because of the wide and direct band-gap and a large exciton binding energy (60 meV) of ZnO. The stability of the exciton makes ZnO a promising material for applications that take advantage of its band-gap emission. Furthermore, ZnO nanostructures excited by deep UV light produce photoluminescence (PL) emissions spanning over the UV and visible regions, which are associated with electron-hole recombination and various intrinsic defects. The spectral shapes and intensities of the near band-gap luminescence are found to greatly depend on the dimension and morphology of the nanostructure due to quantum confinement effect [11–13].

Recently, much attention has been paid to near-infrared (NIR) luminescence from trivalent lanthanide ions because of their applications in telecommunication, bioanalysis and solar cell [14–17]. However, ZnO nanostructures themselves have not been found to produce any emission in the NIR region. A few attempts have been conducted to realize NIR emission from ZnO nanostructures by doping rare earth (RE) ions [18–22]. Among the RE elements, Nd3+ and Er3+ are very attractive for generating NIR luminescence in nanophotonics because these two cations produce characteristic luminescence at wavelengths close to 1300 and 1500 nm, respectively [23,24]. For Er3+-doped ZnO nanostructures, NIR emissions could be achieved by co-doping RE cations with neutral atoms and/or cations of another element into the ZnO lattice. For example, co-doping of N and Li into ZnO:Er3+ has been found to produce an effective NIR emission by modifying the local symmetry and structure around Er3+ [20–22]. The formation of silicon nanocrystals in the ZnO lattice has been reported to generate the 1.53 μm emission by energy transfer from the Si nanocrystals to Er3+ [25]. To date, however, no NIR emission has been generated from Nd3+-doped ZnO nanostructures by the band-gap excitation (in the UV region). Liu et al. observed NIR luminescence of Nd3+-doped ZnO nanocrystals in the 800–1500 nm range upon a 811-nm laser excitation [26]. We have previously fabricated Eu3+-doped ZnO nanowalls on an ITO-glass substrate by a facile electrochemical method [10]. Although the doping of the Eu3+ ions has definitively been confirmed, both as-grown and thermally annealed ZnO:Eu3+ nanowalls excited by a 325-nm HeCd laser do not produce the characteristic red emission from the Eu3+ dopant. In contrast, surface modification of the sample by 1,10-phenanthroline (phen, C12H8N2) has led to a sharp Eu3+ emission at 620 nm. We attribute the observed red emission from Eu3+ to the unique cascade energy transfer mechanism from ZnO to Eu3+ via phen, which plays a dual role both as an energy acceptor from ZnO and as an energy donor to the Eu3+ ion. Here, we investigate NIR luminescence generation by ZnO nanowalls via surface functionalization with other RE complexes, such as Nd3+ and Er3+ complexes bearing UV-absorbing ligands, in order to exploit the underlying energy transfer mechanism and their wide range of potential applications.

The present study is designed to investigate the nature and the
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Evidently, characteristic bands for ZnO nanowalls are observed at 101, 211, 257, 397, 439, 554, 735, 911 and 1068 cm\(^{-1}\) in the high-wavenumber region. Wurtzite ZnO with \( C_{\text{6v}} \) symmetry has six optical modes corresponding to zone-center phonons represented as \( \Gamma_\text{A} = A_1 + 2B_1 + E_1 + 2E_2 \). Both the \( A_1 \) and \( E_1 \) modes are each split into a transverse optical (TO) and a longitudinal optical (LO) component, and the two \( E_2 \) modes are specified as low \( (E_2^{\text{LO}}) \) and high \( (E_2^{\text{TO}}) \) frequency modes. The \( E_1 \) mode is principally inactive under the \( C_{\text{6v}} \) symmetry in Raman spectroscopy. Based on the reported zone-center optical phonon frequencies, we summarize the assignments for the observed Raman peaks in Table S1 (Supporting Information). For most ZnO morphologies, including powders, bulk crystals, nanoparticles and nanorods, the intensity of the \( E_2 \) mode at 439 cm\(^{-1}\) is predominant [27–30]. However, the relative intensities between the \( A_1 \) (TO) and the \( E_2^{\text{TO}} \) modes are found to be greatly dependent on the measurement geometry [31]. As ZnO nanowalls exist primarily on an ITO substrate in an electrolyte containing Cl\(^-\) ions, the dominant growth direction of ZnO has been confirmed [10 0 1]. They are also grown on a NaCl substrate which facilitates nanowall growth. The main orientation of ZnO is the surface of ZnO nanowalls. The N 1s peak at 400.1 eV attributed to adsorption on the ZnO nanowalls. The N 1s peak at 400.1 eV attributed to the nitrogen atom in the ZnO complex.

2. Experimental details

Synthesis of ZnO: Tb(III) Nanowalls and REPhen complexes. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (98%), potassium chloride (99.9%), neodymium nitrate hexahydrate (99.9%), erbium nitrate pentahydrate (99.9%), 1,10-phenanthroline (99.9%) and melon (99.9%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. ZnO nanowalls were synthesized in an aqueous solution of 0.1 M Zn(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O and 0.1 M KCl using a three-electrode electrochemical cell with an applied voltage of 1.3 V vs Ag/AgCl on the working electrode. ITO-coated glass was used as the substrate and the working electrode, with a Pt wire as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. Deposition was carried out at a constant temperature of 70 °C maintained in a water bath. For the synthesis of REPhen complexes, the appropriate rare earth nitrate salt and 1,10-phenanthroline with the molar ratio of 1:8 were dissolved in melon. The solution was then stirred for 12 h and filtered. The resulting solid complexes were rinsed with melon and kept under vacuum.

Surface modification. The surface of ZnO nanowalls was treated separately by drop-casting an aliquot (10 µL) of the prepared two complexes, Nd(phen)\(_2\)(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) and Er(phen)\(_2\)(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) dissolved in ethylacetate (0.1 M). The two surface-functionalized ZnO nanowalls are referred to as NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls and ErPhen/ZnO nanowalls. It should be noted that small amounts of these complexes were deposited onto quartz slides (i.e. without any predeposited ZnO nanowalls) did not produce any NIR emission from the Ndf complex by a 325-nm He-Cd laser.

Characterization. The morphologies of pristine and surface-functionalized ZnO nanowalls were investigated by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in a Hitachi S-4800 microscope. The chemical nature of pristine Phen, NdPhen and NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls was analysed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) in a Thermo-VG Scientific ESCALab 250 Microprobe equipped with a monochromatic Al Ka (1486.6 eV) X-ray source and a charge compensation capability. For PL measurement, the samples were excited by a 325 nm He-Cd laser and the spectra were collected by an ARC 0.5 m Czerny–Turner monochromator equipped with a cooled Hamamatsu R-933–14 photomultiplier tube. A Raman spectrophotometer (LabRAM HR-800, Horiba) with a 514.5 nm Ar\(^+\) laser was employed to obtain the Raman spectra.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the SEM images of pristine and surface-functionalized nanowalls electrochemically deposited on ITO-coated glass. They appear to be vertically oriented and the ledge thickness of ZnO nanowalls is in the range of 50–200 nm. Evidently, surface functionalization using NdPhen shows minimal changes in the morphology and similar nanostructures remain after surface functionalization.

Raman spectra of ZnO nanowalls, NdPhen [Nd(phen)\(_2\)(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)] complexes (in powder form) and NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls are measured in the 100–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) range by using a 514.5-nm Ar ion laser (Fig. 2a). Evidently, characteristic bands for ZnO nanowalls are observed at 101, 257, 297, 397, 439, 554, 735, 911 and 1068 cm\(^{-1}\) in the low-wavenumber region. The Raman spectrum of ZnO nanowalls electrochemically grown on an ITO substrate in an electrolyte containing Cl\(^-\) ions have the preferred growth direction of [1 0 0]. They result in a large area of exposed [0 0 0 1] surface [32]. The relatively strong intensity found for the A\(_1\) (TO) feature, located at 397 cm\(^{-1}\), indicates that a major scattering from the [0 0 0 1] facet provides more contribution to the intensity than the [1 0 0]. In addition, while the acoustic phonon overtones associated with the A\(_1\) mode at 331 cm\(^{-1}\) was reported in previous studies, they are not evident in ZnO nanowalls upon the 514.5 nm excitation [29,30]. Instead, the combinations of the acoustic A\(_1\) overtones and the optical A\(_1\) (TO) mode at 735 and 911 cm\(^{-1}\) are observed. The presence of a strong band with triple peaks (at 3458, 3490, 3567 cm\(^{-1}\)), which correspond to the OH vibrations, originates from Zn(OH)\(_2\) that presents as an intermediate product in the ZnO electrochemical synthesis.

The Raman spectra for the NdPhen powder sample and the NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls are also shown in Fig. 2a. The Raman spectrum of NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls essentially contains all the spectral features of ZnO nanowalls and NdPhen powder, with several primary features characteristic of the adsorbed Nd\(^{3+}\) complex and ZnO nanowalls. Evidently, there is no significant change in the intensity of individual features originated from the ZnO nanowalls before and after deposition of the NdPhen complex, which indicates that the structure of ZnO nanowalls has not been affected upon adsorption of the complex. The two bands at 725 cm\(^{-1}\) (s) and 864 cm\(^{-1}\) (w) correspond to the out-of-plane motion of H atoms on the heterocycle and the center ring, respectively [33]. The two next bands at 1035 and 1297 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned as \( v_\text{C=O} \) and \( v_\text{C=N} \) vibrations of the chelating bidentate nitrate ion, respectively [34]. Among the observed bands, only the symmetric stretching \( v_\text{C=O} \) mode, with two components at 1027 and 1035 cm\(^{-1}\), exhibits structural change upon the adsorption and becomes broadened (Fig. 2a, left inset). This spectral change suggests that there is an interaction between the surface of ZnO nanowalls and adsorbed NdPhen. As the A\(_1\) mode of phen at 1031 cm\(^{-1}\) has been previously found to shift to 1050 cm\(^{-1}\) in surface enhanced Raman scattering, this leads us to assign the observed 1053 cm\(^{-1}\) feature to the A\(_1\) mode of phen adsorbed on ZnO nanowalls [35].

To investigate the bonding nature between NdPhen and ZnO nanowalls, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data have been obtained for phen, NdPhen and NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls, and they are compared in Fig. 2b and c. From the molecular structure of phen, two C 1s peaks correspond to the aromatic C–C bond and C–N bond are expected. Their respective C 1s peak positions, 285.8 and 286.5 eV, appear not affected by bonding to Nd or upon adsorption of NdPhen on the surface of ZnO nanowalls (Fig. 2b). On the other hand, N 1s peaks demonstrate the change in the chemical nature with and without adsorption on the ZnO nanowalls. The N 1s peak at 400.1 eV attributed to C–N bond in NdPhen remains unchanged before and after the adsorption. In contrast, the N 1s peak at 407.6 eV corresponding to NO\(_3\)\(^-\) in NdPhen appears to shift to a lower binding energy (407.0 eV) upon adsorption on ZnO nanowalls. Together with the spectral changes...
observed in the Raman spectra, this confirms that bonding is established between the positively charged nitrogen atoms in the nitrate ions and the oxygen atoms nearby the surface of ZnO nanowalls.

In order to evaluate the interfacial nature of NdPhen/ZnO hybrid system, we perform ab-initio Hartree-Fock calculations using the GENECP basis set (MWB50 for Nd, 3-21G for Zn, O, C, N and H) in Gaussian 09 [36]. A Zn\textsubscript{29}O\textsubscript{29} cluster consisting of four layers along the [0 0 0 1] direction is used to provide the facet with a minimum area sufficiently large to accommodate the Nd\textsuperscript{3+} complex, while the periodic boundary condition of ZnO is employed for the optimization. As shown in Fig. 3, we start the optimization calculation with two different initial configurations for the Nd complex-Zn\textsubscript{29}O\textsubscript{29} hybrid system. The complex is placed with one of the O atoms in the terminal NO\textsubscript{3}─group approaching perpendicularly to the center of the Zn layer in Model I (face-on), and toward to the O atom array located at the vertices between [0 0 0 1] and [1 0 1 0] planes in Model II (edge-on). It should be noted that Model II is considered here to evaluate plausible adsorption conformations on the edges of very thin nanowalls. Since the Zn\textsubscript{29}O\textsubscript{29} crystal structure is assumed not to be affected by the adsorption of the complex, the positions of all Zn and O atoms in ZnO has been fixed during the geometry optimization, while the complex is allowed to move and relax by long-range interactions (such as van der Waals or electrostatic forces). The calculations show similar trends as our experimental results. In particular, the average atomic charge value of the N atoms in the nitrate groups decreases from 0.696 before the adsorption to 0.631 after the adsorption. The separations between NdPhen and ZnO in both models become smaller after geometry optimization, which is most likely due to the interfacial interaction between ZnO and one of the NO\textsubscript{3} groups in NdPhen. In effect, one of the nitrate ion groups in NdPhen acts as an anchor and tows along the remaining bulky Nd(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}(phen)\textsubscript{2} moiety. The strong electrostatic interactions between Zn in the Zn\textsubscript{29}O\textsubscript{29} cluster and an O atom in the nitrate groups induce repositioning one of the two phen moieties (hereafter, referred to as phen-1) parallel to the Zn surface layer for Model I, and to the O surface layer for Model II. As a result, the other phen moiety (denoted here as phen-2) is moved to the perpendicular position to the Zn layer in Model I and to the O layer in Model II.

We further calculate the binding energy \( E_b \) of the NdPhen/ZnO hybrid system as follows [37]:

\[
E_b = E_{\text{NdPhen/ZnO}} - (E_{\text{ZnO}} + E_{\text{NdPhen}})
\]

Fig. 1. SEM images of (a) pristine ZnO nanowalls and (b) NdPhen functionalized ZnO nanowalls.

Fig. 2. (a) Raman spectra of ZnO nanowalls (bottom), NdPhen powder (center) and NdPhen/ZnO hybrid nanostructures (top). Left inset displays a spectral comparison of NdPhen and NdPhen/ZnO hybrid nanostructures over an expanded scale of 1000–1100 cm\(^{-1}\) and right inset shows an expanded view of 100–1300 cm\(^{-1}\) for ZnO nanowalls. The black markers in the right inset indicate the peaks discussed in the main text. XPS spectra of (b) C 1s and (c) N 1s regions of phen powder, NdPhen powder and NdPhen/ZnO hybrid nanostructures.

Fig. 3. Optimized equilibrium geometries for NdPhen/Zn\textsubscript{29}O\textsubscript{29} obtained from two initial configurations: the NdPhen placed with its NO\textsubscript{3} group perpendicular to the Zn layer (face-on, model I) and toward the oxygen layer at the edge between [0 0 0 1] and [1 0 1 0] facets (edge-on, model II).
where $E_{\text{NdPhen/ZnO}}$ is the total energy for the equilibrium structure of the NdPhen/ZnO hybrid system, and $E_{\text{ZnO}}$ and $E_{\text{NdPhen}}$ are the total energies of the equilibrium structures of the ZnO cluster and the NdPhen complex, respectively. With the difference between the calculated binding energies for Model I (17.008 eV/molecule) and Model II (17.004 eV/molecule) to be 4 meV, these results indicate that both bonding geometries are plausible.

To observe the influence of surface functionalization, PL spectra of the as-grown ZnO nanowalls and NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls are measured at room temperature in the near-UV to near-IR region and they are shown in Fig. 4. Upon excitation by a 325-nm He-Cd laser, the as-grown ZnO nanowalls produce a near band-gap (NBG) emission at 365 nm with a narrow bandwidth and a broad deep-level emission band spanning over the 440–800 nm range. Drop-casting of one drop of the NdPhen complex solution produces NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls with the characteristic NIR emission originated from Nd$^{3+}$, as well as the NBG and deep-level emissions (Fig. 4). The three bands barycentered at 887, 1066 and 1334 nm were associated with the $^4F_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4I_{15/2}$ ($J = 9/2, 11/2$ and 13/2) transitions, respectively. Among them, the $^4F_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4_{11/2}$ transition gives the strongest emission intensity. Upon casting with one additional drop, the intensities of these spectral features increase by more than two-fold (Fig. 4). The NdPhen in NdPhen/ZnO hybrid structure is also found to have a significant effect on the NBG emission from the ZnO nanowalls. As the ZnO nanowalls are surface-modified by the NdPhen complex, the NBG emission has dramatically decreased in intensity and it appears as a trace after the 2-drop treatment. Interestingly, the spectral feature of the deep-level emission remains essentially unchanged. We attribute this unexpected spectral evolution to the spectral overlap between the NBG emission and the excitation of phen, which triggers an energy transfer process. In the case of ErPhen/ZnO nanowalls, the reduction of the NBG emission is observed due to the energy transfer to phen, similar to the NdPhen/ZnO system. No NIR emission is, however, found for the ErPhen/ZnO nanowall structures. Overall, the spectral feature of the deep-level emission remains essentially unchanged.

The Dexter electron-exchange process is attributed to the cascade energy transfer because the distances of the donor-acceptor pairs are sufficiently small to facilitate orbital overlap [38]. In the present case of NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls, a NIR luminescence observed in the excited NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls is also expected to follow a similar cascade energy transfer mechanism via two steps. However, the energy transfer mechanism for NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls is different from the case for phen surface-functionalized ZnO:Eu$^{3+}$ (as discussed below). For the NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls, the first step corresponds to the energy transfer between electronically independent donor (ZnO) and acceptor (phen) components, while the second step involves the intramolecular energy transfer from phen to Nd$^{3+}$.

Fig. 5 shows a schematic model illustrating the cascade energy transfer mechanism for NdPhen/ZnO nanowall structures. The Coulombic interaction between the donor ZnO nanowalls and the acceptor phen moieties enables the first step of the cascade energy transfer, which can be explained by the Förster resonant energy transfer (FRET) mechanism. Since the interfacial interaction between the nitrate anions in the NdPhen complex, which only act as anchors, and the surface of the ZnO nanowalls causes phen to be in sufficiently close proximity to the underlying ZnO nanowalls, as shown in Fig. 3. As a consequence, this close proximity enables highly efficient FRET from NBG of ZnO nanowalls to phen. The energy transfer efficiency $Q_{\text{ET}}$ from the ZnO nanowalls to the NdPhen complex can be evaluated using the following relation [39]:

$$Q_{\text{ET}} = \left(1 - \frac{A_{\text{NdPhen/ZnO}}}{A_{\text{ZnO}}}\right)$$

where $A_{\text{NdPhen/ZnO}}$ and $A_{\text{ZnO}}$ are the integrals of the PL spectra (NBG and defect emissions) of the NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls and the ZnO nanowalls, respectively. Applying the integral to the entire spectrum range, the energy transfer efficiency $Q_{\text{ET}}$ for ZnO nanowalls after 1-drop and 2-drop treatments of NdPhen are 0.10 and 0.11, respectively. The FRET process is usually quantified by the Förster radius, $R_0$, defined as the distance between the energy acceptor and donor at which the energy transfer efficiency is 50%. Introducing the acceptor/donor ratio ($r$) within the optical observed cross-section to the Förster model for this complex interaction, $Q_{\text{ET}}$ can be expressed in terms of $R_0$ and the distance between the energy donor and acceptor, $d$, as [40]:

$$Q_{\text{ET}} = \frac{rR_0^6}{rR_0^6 + d^6}$$

When $r = 1$, the energy corresponding to the NBG emission is totally transferred to phen and $Q_{\text{ET}}$ becomes the maximum 0.11. Assuming that two different phen moieties (phen-1 and phen-2) are involved in the FRET processes, $Q_{\text{ET}} = Q_{\text{ET}}(\text{phen-1}) + Q_{\text{ET}}(\text{phen-2})$. Taking $d$ as an average value of the N-to-Zn separations between two N atoms and Zn atoms from the optimized geometry, $d = 3.2$ Å for phen-1 and $d = 6.8$ Å for phen-2, we obtain $R_0 = 2.3$ Å, and $Q_{\text{ET}}(\text{phen-2})$ is only $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$. For NdPhen/ZnO nanowalls, the Förster distance between the donor ZnO nanowalls and the acceptor phen is exceptionally small, when compared with typical values in the range of 1–10 nm. This is due to the strong electrostatic interaction between the ZnO layers and nitrate anions that leads to the phen-1 moiety being

![Fig. 4. PL spectra ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 325$ nm) of pristine ZnO nanowalls, and upon casting of 1-drop and 2-drop NdPhen complex.](Image)

![Fig. 5. Schematic model of radiative relaxation occurring in (a) ZnO and (b) NdPhen/ZnO hybrid structures. Corresponding energy-level diagrams for (c) ZnO and (d) cascade energy transfer from ZnO to Nd$^{3+}$ via phen.](Image)
close to the ZnO surface.

The energy transfer for the second step begins with the intersystem crossing between the $3\pi^+$ and the $3\pi^–$ states of phen, followed by the intramolecular energy transfer from phen to Nd$^{3+}$. The energy-transfer rate from the triplet-excited state of phen to the rare-earth ion in the solid has been well elucidated from exchange-interaction theory [38]. The exchange interaction is active if the emission band of a sensitizer (S) overlaps the 4f–4f absorption band of the lanthanide ion. As shown in Fig. S1, some absorption bands of Nd$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$ nicely overlap with the phosphorescence band of phen. The second energy transfer promotes the $4f_{9/2} \rightarrow 4f_{5/2} (J = 5/2, 7/2$ and $9/2)$ transitions of Nd$^{3+}$ and the $4f_{15/2} \rightarrow ^{2}F_{7/2}$ and $^2F_{5/2}$ transitions of Er$^{3+}$. Among the electronic transitions from the ground states in the UV – visible range, the $4f_{9/2} \rightarrow 4f_{5/2}$ transition (at 574.8 nm) for Nd$^{3+}$ and the $^4f_{15/2} \rightarrow ^{2}H_{11/2}$ transition (at 523.0 nm) for Er$^{3+}$ are most hypersensitive [41]. The overlapping with the hypersensitive absorption bands of the acceptor maximizes the energy transfer efficiency. A model for the energy transfer processes from the triplet spin state of phen to the electronic states of RE$^{3+}$ as the receiving levels is shown in Fig. S2. The cascade energy transfer rates from ZnO nanowalls to Nd$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$ via the intercessor phen are expected to be very similar to each other, because of the similarities in the adsorption configurations and the spectral overlaps (Fig. S1). The difference in the energy transfer processes between Nd$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$ in the intramolecular energy transfer from the receiving levels to the emitting levels. The energy gap (ΔE) between the main receiving $^{3}H_{11/2}$ level and the emitting $^{3}J_{1/2}$ level of Er$^{3+}$ is 12,600 cm$^{-1}$, which is much larger than ΔE = 5850 cm$^{-1}$ ($^{4}G_{9/2} \rightarrow ^{2}F_{3/2}$) and 5950 cm$^{-1}$ ($^{4}G_{7/2} \rightarrow ^{2}F_{5/2}$) for Nd$^{3+}$. This relatively large energy gap of Er$^{3+}$ causes non-radiative relaxation process in ErPhen/ZnO hybrid structure and prevents generation of NIR emission.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we present the first observation of sensitized NIR emission from hybrid NdPhen complex/ZnO nanowalls via the cascade energy transfer from the NBG emission of ZnO nanowalls to Nd$^{3+}$ via phen. Optimized geometries of NdPhen on model ZnO clusters obtained by ab-initio calculations along with XPS results support plausible interfacial interaction between the nitrate anions in NdPhen and the surface of ZnO nanowalls. In addition, phen appears to play a key role as an energy mediator, i.e., an acceptor from ZnO nanowalls and a donor to Nd$^{3+}$. Although the surface of ZnO nanowalls is treated only as an energy mediator, i.e., an acceptor from ZnO nanowalls and a faci cal interaction between the nitrate anions in NdPhen and the surface of ZnO nanowalls. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apusc.2019.144874.
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